

Submission on

Te Ara Tipuna Trail Application

Form 13

Under Section 96 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

All information provided in your submission is available to the public (on request).

Submissions must be lodged before **5pm Friday 7 February 2025**.

You can email your submission to: notifiedRC@gdc.govt.nz

The Resource Management Act 1991 requires a copy of your submission must be sent to the applicant. For this Resource Consent application, the Gisborne District Council Resource Coordination team will do this for you.

LODGING INFORMATION

Reference Number	RCS250212337
Submitted on	2025-02-07T20:49:59.8019136+13:00

1. PERSON MAKING SUBMISSION

Name in full	Holloway	Juanita Maree
	Surname	First Name
83 Airstrip Rd, Caoe Runaway, Opotiki 7199		
Address		
022 633 9848		
Mobile		Other phone
juanitaholloway0@gmail.com		
Email address		

2. SUBMISSION ON

Application Number	Gisborne District Council: LU-2023-112074-00; DL-2023-112075-00; LR-2023-112076-00; LL-2023-112077-00; LV-2023-112078-00 Bay of Plenty Regional Council: RM23-0508-AP Ōpōtiki District Council: RC2024-04	
Name of Applicant	Te Ara Tipuna Trail Charitable Trust	
Type of Resource Consent applied for	Land Use, Discharge to Land, Land Use - Lake/Riverbed, Land Disturbance, Land Use - Vegetation Clearance	
Brief description of proposed activity	Construction & use of a shared trail from Makorori (in the South) round to Opotiki (in the North of the East Coast)	
Position on application	oppose	

Clearly state which parts of the application you support or oppose or wish to have amended:

I am a trustee in a whanau trust the Rehu and Tuakana Poihip Trust that is 1 of 9 owners in this block, there is no administrating body on this block and we have not been consulted on this kaupapa as a whanau, I only heard about this through another shareholder from a neighbouring block, also I have built my home on this block and the trail will impeade the driveway that my whanau and I travel daily, so no my whanau trust, my own whanau strongly oppose this trail.

The reasons for making my submission are (briefly describe the reasons for your views:

I am against the entire proposed trail that highlights the paper road that comes through Te Piki 9, I support the whanau at Te Piki 8 and through to Airstrip Road to then meet SH35 and cross over to the neighbouring block.

I have attached and indicated where I currently live, where Airstrip Road starts and ends.

I have attached a map of Airstrip Road from your site that displays the start and end of the road, I have highlighted in orange. I have indicated my residence with a X in red. In purple I have indicated the end of Airstrip Road (public road) and the start of my neighbours property at Te Piki 16. Pass Te Piki 16 boundary, your entering Te Piki 8, Te Piki 9 and Te Piki 10. which go hand in hand with my reasons to strongly oppose this trail! . Households:

I am 1 of 6 households that live up and beyond Airstrip Road. Airstrip Road begins off SH35 Your proposed trail then enters and continues through privately which is not wanted Hoha again.

I wish the Commissioner Panel to make the following decision (give details, including the nature of any conditions sought):

To acceh. Nope hoha.

Te Piki 9 (privately owned). I have validated my very reasons to oppose this trail. This trail will impact what already exist up here and will only bring issues. we will not grant access to

Te Ara Tipuna if they gain consent to establish a trail. The named

blocks above are in the middle of native bush, privately owned

whenua (land) and a private farm with residents.

3. Please indicate:

I wish to speak at the Hearing in support of my submission	Yes
--	-----

Would you consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission?	Yes
--	-----

4. Confirmation

Are you submitting this form on behalf of another person?	No
---	----

I confirm that all the above details are correct	True
--	------

Submission on

Te Ara Tipuna Trail Application

Form 13

Under Section 96 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

All information provided in your submission is available to the public (on request).

Submissions must be lodged before **5pm Friday 7 February 2025**.

You can email your submission to: notifiedRC@gdc.govt.nz

The Resource Management Act 1991 requires a copy of your submission must be sent to the applicant. For this Resource Consent application, the Gisborne District Council Resource Coordination team will do this for you.

LODGING INFORMATION

Reference Number	RCS250212396
Submitted on	2025-02-07T22:45:17.6713622+13:00

1. PERSON MAKING SUBMISSION

Name in full	Maru	Karera
	Surname	First Name
149 Roebuck Road, Gisborne 4010		
Address		
0225126841		
Mobile		Other phone
claramaru4@gmail.com		
Email address		

2. SUBMISSION ON

Application Number	Gisborne District Council: LU-2023-112074-00; DL-2023-112075-00; LR-2023-112076-00; LL-2023-112077-00; LV-2023-112078-00 Bay of Plenty Regional Council: RM23-0508-AP Ōpōtiki District Council: RC2024-04	
Name of Applicant	Te Ara Tipuna Trail Charitable Trust	
Type of Resource Consent applied for	Land Use, Discharge to Land, Land Use - Lake/Riverbed, Land Disturbance, Land Use - Vegetation Clearance	
Brief description of proposed activity	Construction & use of a shared trail from Makorori (in the South) round to Opotiki (in the North of the East Coast)	
Position on application	oppose	

Clearly state which parts of the application you support or oppose or wish to have amended:

I oppose the establishment of a trail near my land of 110 Waiomatatini Rd.

The reasons for making my submission are (briefly describe the reasons for your views):

I'm opposed to the way this resource consent was undertaken because Maori tikanga was not followed. This trail is proposed on Maori land so a hui a iwi should have been held to Gauge support. Am opposed to the whole proposal and consider it a waste of ratepayers money. 110 Waiomatatini rd is land that has been handed down from our tipuna and should not be exposed or in the vicinity of a walking trail for tourists. This does not respect or tikanga or the fact we are tangata whenua and have mana whenua over our land.

I wish the Commissioner Panel to make the following decision (give details, including the nature of any conditions sought):

I wish the council not approve this resource consent because of my opposition.

3. Please indicate:

I wish to speak at the Hearing in support of my submission	No
--	----

Would you consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission?	No
--	----

4. Confirmation

Are you submitting this form on behalf of another person?	No
---	----

I confirm that all the above details are correct	True
--	------

Submission on

Te Ara Tipuna Trail Application

Form 13

Under Section 96 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

All information provided in your submission is available to the public (on request).

Submissions must be lodged before **5pm Friday 7 February 2025**.

You can email your submission to: notifiedRC@gdc.govt.nz

The Resource Management Act 1991 requires a copy of your submission must be sent to the applicant. For this Resource Consent application, the Gisborne District Council Resource Coordination team will do this for you.

LODGING INFORMATION

Reference Number	RCS250212397
Submitted on	2025-02-07T22:47:31.1643478+13:00

1. PERSON MAKING SUBMISSION

Name in full	Maru	Karera
	Surname	First Name
149 Roebuck Road, Gisborne 4010		
Address		
0225126841		
Mobile		Other phone
claramaru4@gmail.com		
Email address		

2. SUBMISSION ON

Application Number	Gisborne District Council: LU-2023-112074-00; DL-2023-112075-00; LR-2023-112076-00; LL-2023-112077-00; LV-2023-112078-00 Bay of Plenty Regional Council: RM23-0508-AP Ōpōtiki District Council: RC2024-04	
Name of Applicant	Te Ara Tipuna Trail Charitable Trust	
Type of Resource Consent applied for	Land Use, Discharge to Land, Land Use - Lake/Riverbed, Land Disturbance, Land Use - Vegetation Clearance	
Brief description of proposed activity	Construction & use of a shared trail from Makorori (in the South) round to Opotiki (in the North of the East Coast)	
Position on application	oppose	

Clearly state which parts of the application you support or oppose or wish to have amended:

I oppose the establishment of a trail near my land of 110 Waiomatatini Rd.

The reasons for making my submission are (briefly describe the reasons for your views):

I'm opposed to the way this resource consent was undertaken because Maori tikanga was not followed. This trail is proposed on Maori land so a hui a iwi should have been held to Gauge support. Am opposed to the whole proposal and consider it a waste of ratepayers money. 110 Waiomatatini rd is land that has been handed down from our tipuna and should not be exposed or in the vicinity of a walking trail for tourists. This does not respect or tikanga or the fact we are tangata whenua and have mana whenua over our land.

I wish the Commissioner Panel to make the following decision (give details, including the nature of any conditions sought):

I wish the council not approve this resource consent because of my opposition.

3. Please indicate:

I wish to speak at the Hearing in support of my submission	No
--	----

Would you consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission?	No
--	----

4. Confirmation

Are you submitting this form on behalf of another person?	No
---	----

I confirm that all the above details are correct	True
--	------

Submission on

Te Ara Tipuna Trail Application

Form 13

Under Section 96 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

All information provided in your submission is available to the public (on request).

Submissions must be lodged before **5pm Friday 7 February 2025**.

You can email your submission to: notifiedRC@gdc.govt.nz

The Resource Management Act 1991 requires a copy of your submission must be sent to the applicant. For this Resource Consent application, the Gisborne District Council Resource Coordination team will do this for you.

LODGING INFORMATION

Reference Number	RCS250212406
Submitted on	2025-02-07T23:05:21.8637148+13:00

1. PERSON MAKING SUBMISSION

Name in full	Tuiomanufili (nee Wheoki)	Cecilia
	Surname	First Name
97 Parkvale Road, Karori, Wellington 6012		
Address		
0275635303		
Mobile		Other phone
cecilia.tuiomanufili@gmail.com		
Email address		

2. SUBMISSION ON

Application Number	Gisborne District Council: LU-2023-112074-00; DL-2023-112075-00; LR-2023-112076-00; LL-2023-112077-00; LV-2023-112078-00 Bay of Plenty Regional Council: RM23-0508-AP Ōpōtiki District Council: RC2024-04	
Name of Applicant	Te Ara Tipuna Trail Charitable Trust	
Type of Resource Consent applied for	Land Use, Discharge to Land, Land Use - Lake/Riverbed, Land Disturbance, Land Use - Vegetation Clearance	
Brief description of proposed activity	Construction & use of a shared trail from Makorori (in the South) round to Opotiki (in the North of the East Coast)	
Position on application	oppose	

Clearly state which parts of the application you support or oppose or wish to have amended:

Our Wheeki Whanau Trust oppose this project. It will transform our lands, giving public access to privately owned land. These are our traditional and tribal lands. Not open for the public.

The reasons for making my submission are (briefly describe the reasons for your views):

We aim to protect our tribal lands, and our privately owned land.

I wish the Commissioner Panel to make the following decision (give details, including the nature of any conditions sought):

Reject this proposed project.

3. Please indicate:

I wish to speak at the Hearing in support of my submission	No
--	----

Would you consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission?	No
--	----

4. Confirmation

Are you submitting this form on behalf of another person?	No
---	----

I confirm that all the above details are correct	True
--	------

Submission on

Te Ara Tipuna Trail Application

Form 13

Under Section 96 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

All information provided in your submission is available to the public (on request).

Submissions must be lodged before **5pm Friday 7 February 2025**.

You can email your submission to: notifiedRC@gdc.govt.nz

The Resource Management Act 1991 requires a copy of your submission must be sent to the applicant. For this Resource Consent application, the Gisborne District Council Resource Coordination team will do this for you.

LODGING INFORMATION

Reference Number	RCS250212419
Submitted on	2025-02-07T23:57:48.0267648+13:00

1. PERSON MAKING SUBMISSION

Name in full	Hirini	Kerry-Anne
	Surname	First Name
1093 Whangara Road, RD 3, Gisborne 4073		
Address		
02108532502	0210 853 2502	
Mobile	Other phone	
kerryhirini34@gmail.com		
Email address		

2. SUBMISSION ON

Application Number	Gisborne District Council: LU-2023-112074-00; DL-2023-112075-00; LR-2023-112076-00; LL-2023-112077-00; LV-2023-112078-00 Bay of Plenty Regional Council: RM23-0508-AP Ōpōtiki District Council: RC2024-04	
Name of Applicant	Te Ara Tipuna Trail Charitable Trust	
Type of Resource Consent applied for	Land Use, Discharge to Land, Land Use - Lake/Riverbed, Land Disturbance, Land Use - Vegetation Clearance	
Brief description of proposed activity	Construction & use of a shared trail from Makorori (in the South) round to Opotiki (in the North of the East Coast)	
Position on application	oppose	

Clearly state which parts of the application you support or oppose or wish to have amended:
I oppose Te Ara Tipuna Track/walk being developed through or on ant of Ngat Konohi Whenua including our private roads, marine reserve and farms
The reasons for making my submission are (briefly describe the reasons for your views):
I am of Ngati Konohi descend also a share holder of Whangara Farms and a landowner and beneficiary of the Rutene Kokiri Trust at Pouawa beach my whanau and I oppose Te Ara Tipuna we do not want the traffic of people, horse riders or cyclist. Our Marine reserve, Whare Mapou Rongokako and Whitiwhiti Tapuwae and significant places that we do not want exploited
I wish the Commissioner Panel to make the following decision (give details, including the nature of any conditions sought):
Listen to the Kaitiaki hapÅ« and iwi of WHANGARA we do not want and we will not support Te Ara Tipuna

3. Please indicate:	
I wish to speak at the Hearing in support of my submission	No
Would you consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission?	Yes

4. Confirmation	
Are you submitting this form on behalf of another person?	No
I confirm that all the above details are correct	True

NZ Transport Agency Waka Kotahi Reference: 2023-0753

5 February 2025

Te Ara Tipuna Submissions,
C/- Gisborne District Council,
15 Fitzherbert Street,
PO Box 747,
Gisborne 4040

Via email: NotifiedRC@gdc.govt.nz

Submission on Te Ara Tipuna Trail – Tairāwhiti Gisborne to Ōpōtiki – Te Ara Tipuna Charitable Trust

Attached is the NZ Transport Agency Waka Kotahi submission on Te Ara Tipuna Charitable Trust application (Council references in Table 1) for multiple resource consents to enable the design and construction of Stage 1 (public walking trail) of Te Ara Tipuna for the extent of the trail approximately 500km from Tairāwhiti Gisborne around the coast to Ōpōtiki. The Trail is proposed to be located across private and multiple owner land blocks, along road corridors including the state highway corridor, reserves and the coastal margin.

Table 1: Council Application References

Council	Council Reference
Gisborne District Council	DL-2023-112074-00, LR-2023-112076-00, LL-2023-112077-00 and LV-2023-112078-00
Bay of Plenty Regional Council	RM23-0508-AP
Ōpōtiki District Council	RC2024-04

We welcome the opportunity to discuss the contents of our submission with the relevant council officers or the applicant as required.

If you have any questions, please contact me.

Yours sincerely



Luke Braithwaite
Senior Planner – Poutiaki Taiao / Environmental Planning
System Design, Transport Services
Email: luke.braithwaite@nzta.govt.nz

CC:

Te Ara Tipuna Trail
C/- The Planning Collective,
PO Box 591,
Warkworth 0941

Via email: diana@thepec.co.nz & ata@tearatipuna.nz

Submission on Te Ara Tipuna Trail – Tairāwhiti Gisborne to Ōpōtiki – Te Ara Tipuna Charitable Trust

To: Te Ara Tipuna Submissions,
C/- Gisborne District Council,
15 Fitzherbert Street,
PO Box 747,
Gisborne 4040
Via email: NotifiedRC@gdc.govt.nz

From: NZ Transport Agency Waka Kotahi
Level 1, Deloitte Building, 24 Anzac Parade
PO Box 973, Waikato Mail Centre,
Hamilton 3240

1. This is a submission on the following:

Te Ara Tipuna Charitable Trust application (Council references in Table 1) for multiple resource consents to enable the design and construction of Stage 1 (public walking trail) of the Te Ara Tipuna for the extent of the trail approximately 500km from Tairāwhiti Gisborne around the coast to Ōpōtiki. The Trail is proposed to be located across private and multiple owner land blocks, along road corridors including the state highway corridor, reserves and the coastal margin.

Table 1: Council Application References

Council	Council Reference
Gisborne District Council	DL-2023-112074-00, LR-2023-112076-00, LL-2023-112077-00 and LV-2023-112078-00
Bay of Plenty Regional Council	RM23-0508-AP
Ōpōtiki District Council	RC2024-04

2. NZ Transport Agency Waka Kotahi (NZTA) could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
3. State Highway Environment and Context:

Te Ara Tipuna Trail is proposed to be partially within sections of State Highway 35, being a primary collector state highway with regional importance. The State Highway 35 route is located between Ōpōtiki in the Eastern Bay of Plenty around the east cape to Tairāwhiti, connecting multiple communities along the route.

NZTA has an interest in the design, construction, operation and maintenance of the proposed trail within and adjacent to the State Highway 35 route given that this will have implications on how NZTA will operate and maintain a safe and efficient State Highway 35 corridor and the effects the trail will have on road users.

Prior to any physical works being undertaken within the state highway corridor the Te Ara Tipuna Charitable Trust would need to obtain from NZTA all relevant legal and legislative approvals including but not limited to Resource Management Act 1991 Section 176(1)(b) written consent for works within a designation and Government Roding Powers Act 1989 Section 51(2) approval to undertake physical works.

4. The submission of NZTA is:

- (i) NZTA supports the broader concept of an off road pedestrian trail that provides an experience for visitors of the Tairāwhiti Gisborne and Ōpōtiki regions. However, NZTA is Opposed in Part to Stage 1 of Te Ara Tipuna trail to the extent outlined in this submission, primarily due to the lack of information in the notified application which does not provide the certainty required for NZTA to form a position beyond Oppose in Part.
- (ii) With the limited detail on the Te Ara Tipuna trail NZTA cannot identify those aspects of the trail that we could support or identify appropriate changes, conditions or mitigation that would be required to address effects on the state highway network and its users. NZTA recommends that the trail and all associated infrastructure be located outside of the state highway road corridor.
- (iii) NZTA will remain engaged with the notification process and consider information provided to be able to appropriately assess the effects of this trail on the state highway network.

5. NZTA seeks the following decision from the consent authorities:

- (i) that the application is declined on the basis that the applicant has not provided sufficient information to be able to assess the application; or,
- (ii) that the proposed Te Ara Tipuna trail is located entirely outside of the state highway corridor; or,
- (iii) that sufficient information is provided to be able to assess the effects and any appropriate mitigation prior to hearing. NZTA has provided an attachment (Attachment 1) to this submission outlining those areas of information that NZTA needs to be able to appropriately assess the effects of this proposal; or,
- (iv) Any other relief that would provide for the adequate consideration and mitigation of potential effects on State Highway 35 environment, transport network and its users.

6. NZTA does wish to be heard in support of this submission.

7. If others make a similar submission, NZTA will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

8. NZTA is willing to work with the Councils and the applicant in advance of a hearing.

Signature:



Letitcia Jarrett
Principal Planner – Poutiaki Taiao / Environmental Planning
System Design, Transport Services
Pursuant to an authority delegated by NZ Transport Agency Waka Kotahi
Date: 5 February 2025

Address for service: NZ Transport Agency Waka Kotahi
Level 1, Deloitte Building, 24 Anzac Parade
PO Box 973, Waikato Mail Centre,
Hamilton 3240,

Contact Person: Luke Braithwaite
E-mail: Luke.Braithwaite@nzta.govt.nz or EnvironmentalPlanning@nzta.govt.nz

Attachments: Attachment 1: Table on Information Required for Reassessment by NZTA

Attachment 1: Table on Information Required for Reassessment by NZTA

NZTA seeks that the application provide sufficient information to be able to assess the impacts on the state highway network and infrastructure including proposed mitigation to address the identified effects. NZTA suggests that if the applicant does seek to progress their proposal, they will need to look to provide the following information or provide appropriate mitigation to address each of the sections below. We will then be able to reassess the proposal.

Area of Interest	Statement on Information Required
Design & Pre-Implementation	
Standard Design Templates	<p>1. To date NZTA has not been provided the opportunity to input into the proposed standard trail drawings therefore cannot agree to the acceptability of these as they apply to the highway corridor. The following should be addressed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Outcome of consultation with NZTA on the standard design drawings and the suitability of each drawing. b) If the design templates have considered the following guides: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Department of Conservation track construction guidelines (ii) Standards NZ Handbook 8630:2004 – Tracks and Outdoor Visitor Structures; (iii) In urban areas (towns & settlements), the NZTA Pedestrian Network Guidance (PNG) (iv) The NZTA information sheet considering historic heritage in walking and cycling projects (v) NZ Cycle Trail Design Guide c) What the criteria is that will be used to determine when each of the standard drawing templates would apply. d) If use of the live carriageway lanes per drawing 'Typical Cross-section 11' is proposed on any of the state highway network. e) Confirmation that priority control or passing bays are located on off-road trail sections only. f) If departures are being proposed given that the typical design drawings do not meet NZTA minimum standards. For example, the drawings show less than minimum highway lane widths for the highway within the proposed standard typical trail cross-sections, so would require departures. g) Details on the widths of the existing cycle lanes mentioned within the application and how this informed the designs. It is noted that NZTA has no records of any formal cycle lanes on State Highway 35.
Consultation	<p>2. It is unclear if Te Ara Tipuna Charitable Trust intends on undertaking formal consultation as required by a number of NZTA guides / acts / bylaws. The intention to comply should be provided to progress the proposal. As an example of this requirement formal cycle lanes require consultation in accordance with the Traffic Control Devices on State Highways Bylaw.</p>

<p>Developer Agreement and Long Term Maintenance</p>	<p>3. There have been no details provided on who will cover the various costs for design, construction, bonds, maintenance, inspections, operation, damage and emergency events insofar as this relates to the proposed trail within the highway. For the proposal to be progressed the following needs to be addressed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) If the intention is that a developer agreement or a similar mechanism will be in place to govern the contractual components of trail infrastructure within state highway corridor. b) Clarification needs to be provided on the Te Ara Tipuna Charitable Trust proposed long term funding mechanisms to provide for the operation and maintenance the trail post the construction of the trail. It is unclear if the maintenance of this trail will continue in perpetuity.
<p>Heritage and Discovery Protocols</p>	<p>4. NZTA is concerned that the alignment and associated works will impact on the over fifty recorded archaeological sites and built heritage in close proximity or within the highway. The following should be addressed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Consideration of the built heritage of NZTA infrastructure that will be impacted by the trail (such as bridges and culverts) to determine the suitability of changing the use of these structures. b) Pre works archaeological investigations given that an accidental discovery protocol is likely not appropriate due to the high likelihood of heritage discovery (for both pre and post 1900's heritage). c) The proposed discovery protocols and if this will align with the Government Policy for the Management of Cultural Heritage NZTA Kaimanawa Heritage Policy and P45 Heritage Specification for works within the highway corridor. d) Details of any applications proposed to Heritage NZ Pouhere Taonga. <i>Note, NZTA will need to approve any applications prior to lodgement for works within the highway corridor.</i>
<p>Previous Non-Compliance with Designs</p>	<p>5. NZTA is concerned regarding the designs given the trial section of trail raised design and safety concerns when this was not constructed in accordance with the designs submitted. NZTA will need to understand the level of detail that will be provided prior to construction works, if the works are intended to be undertaken in accordance with designs provided, and if there will be appropriate oversight to ensure works are built in accordance with designs submitted.</p>
<p>Safety Audits</p>	<p>6. Safety audit information has not been provided within the application and it is unclear if safety audits are intended to be undertaken for certain aspects of the trail, sections of the trail or for the entire trail within the state highway corridor. Clarification needs to be provided on what factors will trigger the requirement for a safety audit.</p>
<p>Highway Pavement</p>	<p>7. There are no details on the proposed standard of the pavement that will be added to the carriageway of the state highway. NZTA needs details if the pavement proposed and if this will be designed to a 20 year design life, will have second coats installed and if this will have a 24 month defect period.</p> <p>8. Given the geotechnical risk, NZTA is unclear on what pre-work will be undertaken prior to the installation of pavement widening to the highway. NZTA needs details on the pavement pre-works that will be undertaken given the challenges of State Highway 35 (for example geotechnical assessment of the areas where pavement is proposed).</p>

Earthworks	<p>9. Works are being undertaken along the State Highway 35 alignment where there is a high risk of slips and known erosion prone areas. NZTA needs details on the full extent of works proposed and any associated assessments that will be undertaken to manage effects (i.e. erosion and sediment control plans, site management plans, geotechnical assessments).</p> <p><i>Note: NZTA are required to provide monthly waste disposal documents for work carried out within the highway, therefore will need a process in place for detail to be provided on the removal and disposal of all earthworks within the highway carriageway.</i></p>
Stormwater & Drainage	<p>10. NZTA is concerned that the works will compromise the capacity of the NZTA stormwater network and infrastructure. The following should be addressed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) If assessment of the catchments will be undertaken prior to works to determine suitable mitigation b) Assessment on highway drainage assets and any adverse impacts such as reducing the capacity of our infrastructure or increasing the flood risk. c) If the works will limit NZTA ability to undertake future works in the area.
Vegetation	<p>11. There are very limited details on the protocols for vegetation removal and reinstatement within the highway corridor. The following should be addressed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) The protocols for vegetation removal and disposal. <i>Note: NZTA are required to provide monthly waste disposal documents for work carried out within the highway, therefore will need a process in place for detail on removal and disposal to be provided.</i> b) The protocols for vegetation reinstatement for different types of vegetation removed (for example reinstating grassed areas with grass) c) The vegetation control and maintenance proposed within the highway corridor. It is noted that the trail will increase the costs associated with maintenance (e.g. mowing, spraying, noxious weeds and hazardous tree management). It is likely that a maintenance management plan will be needed for the state highway sections of the trail.
Speed Reductions	<p>12. NZTA has not been provided with locations, proposed speed and the extent of area being investigated for speed reductions. Details will need to be provided on the proposed speed reductions and if Te Ara Tipuna Charitable Trust is intending to comply with the 'Guidance on Land Transport Rule: Setting of Speed Limits 2024'.</p>
Health and Safety	<p>13. The state highway will present various health and safety risks to trail users, and it is unclear how users will be made aware of these risks. Details should be provided on how trail users will be informed on the health and safety risks along the trail (such as walking in proximity to the highway, waste management etc) and what is the response to incidents on the trail and emergency events.</p>

Details Required for Specific Aspects of the Proposal	
Clip on Bridges	<p>14. The proposed clip on bridges have been planned in isolation of the physical bridge infrastructure and operations, and it is not clear if the bridges proposed are a viable crossing option. The following should be addressed:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Engineering reports of each bridge identifying the ability of each bridge to accommodate the additional structure. b) An assessment of effects of storms on these structures and any flow on effects from the clip on bridge on NZTA assets. c) Effects on NZTA inspection regime (for example gaining access to inspect the bridge using Mobile elevated work platforms). d) Compliance with the NZTA Bridges Manual and Standards New Zealand HB 8630:2004 – Tracks and Outdoor Visitor Structures. e) The development of an asset owner manual outlining the inspection and maintenance regime of these structures. f) Design challenges with the alignment of the trail versus the requirements for the clip on bridge to be on certain sides of each bridge (for example typically these clip on structures are located on the downstream side of the bridge). g) If river crossings have been considered as an alternative to clip on bridges or live lane crossings. h) Alternative systems proposed where the Kliptread system cannot be used <i>Note: from an initial assessment Kliptread is unlikely to work on most of the State Highway 35 bridges.</i>
Shuttle Service	<p>15. There are no details proposed on what a shuttle service would entail. Consideration needs to be given to the waiting area, frequency of services, parking area, access and manoeuvring onsite.</p>
State Highway Width Constraints	<p>16. Concern has been raised about the State Highway 35 road constraints and what will occur along those narrow sections of highway. The following needs to be addressed:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Confirmation that the state highway live lanes are not being proposed in any location as part of the walking trail (using the “Typical Trail Cross-section 11” for the state highway). b) How the trail will work in areas where there is not sufficient road reserve width to provide for both the road carriageway, highway drainage and trail.
Bridge Warning Sign Crossings	<p>17. Currently NZTA is not supportive of this being an option on most bridges given the risk to pedestrians (and future trail users) in proximity of the live state highway lanes. The following needs to be addressed:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Which bridges this option is being investigated for. b) The safety considerations of this option. c) How the systems will work, noting that some of the NZTA bridges are nearly 200m long which will increase the exposure risk to trail users. d) The maintenance regime of this crossings e) The protocols in the event that the warning system fails to operate.

Culverts:	<p>18. There is currently insufficient detail on the proposed culverts to be able to assess the effects of these on the state highway. The following should be addressed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) The locations of culverts that are being proposed. b) Stormwater assessments identifying the effects of the structure on the state highway drainage c) Catchment modelling to determine the appropriate size of culverts to ensure these are fit for purpose. d) Assessments of the immediate natural environment to determine compliance or requirements under the NES-FW e) Assessments of any required mitigation from the change in the flow patterns of the water (for example the requirement for erosion protection). f) Maintenance proposals and response times for culverts and process for clearing replacing blocked or damaged culverts. g) Responsibility for damage to the highway as a result of asset failures. h) Assessments that will be undertaken to determine the size and design of each of the culverts (for example the culvert diameter, the requirement for mountable culvert ends etc)
Public Toilets:	<p>19. NZTA does not typically support public toilets within the road reserve, however it is unclear if these are proposed within the state highway road reserve. The following should be addressed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Whether these will be located within the state highway road reserve, b) How maintenance will be handled at public toilet sites (such as vegetation control, litter, graffiti, drainage, waste removal, signage, etc) c) Details on the power connections and if separate electricity metres are being proposed. d) If these will be located on the same side of the state highway as the trail or require additional state highway crossings.
Carparking:	<p>20. It is unclear where car parking areas are proposed along the alignment and the effect on the state highway. The following should be addressed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) The location of the car parking areas and if any of these are proposed within the state highway road reserve b) Details on the size, frequency of use of these areas c) The access arrangement to and from the state highway to these areas d) Details of the parking arrangements for trail users and how this will be controlled. e) Details on the maintenance of these areas
Pedestrian Crossings	<p>21. NZTA is concerned that pedestrian crossings are being proposed in high speed areas where typically pedestrian crossings are not located on state highways. The following should be addressed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) Details on the specific location of each of these crossings and the associated formation of each crossing proposed. b) Details on why specific locations have been selected for pedestrian crossings outlining the need to cross the state highway. c) Details if all pedestrian crossings can be located within urban areas (towns and settlements). <p><i>Note: NZTA is generally not supportive of pedestrian crossings within the high speed environment.</i></p>

	d) Details if pedestrian crossings within towns and settlements will be designed in accordance with the NZTA Pedestrian Network Guidance (PNG).
Streetlighting	22. Streetlighting has been proposed, however there is insufficient detail provided to assess this installation or the appropriateness of these. The following should be addressed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) The location of the streetlights proposed, and if any are proposed within the state highway. b) If located within the state highway road reserve: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) details on the power connections and if separate electricity metres are being proposed. (ii) details on the ownership and replacement arrangement in the event of damage. (iii) details if the streetlighting will be installed in accordance with NZTA specification and guidelines for road lighting design. (iv) The requirement to have streetlighting installed in these locations.
Tubular Delineators / Delineator Posts	23. Tubular delineators / delineator posts require frequent maintenance and replacement and NZTA are concerned that these will not be maintained to the required standard following installation. Details on where tubular delineators / delineator posts are proposed and how these will be maintained on an on-going basis should be provided.
Signage	24. The application lack detail on the signage proposed along the trail. To assess the appropriateness of what is proposed details on all the various types of signage proposed including design, size, orientation, maintenance should be provided, in addition to any locations of where signage is known to be currently proposed.
Construction	
Reinstatement of Highway Assets	25. The trial section of Te Ara Tipuna trail resulted in highway drainage and signage not being appropriately reinstated. NZTA will need to be provided with details on the standard that highway assets impacted by the work will be reinstated to.
Temporary Traffic Management	26. The application has not detailed the procedures for works within the corridor. Confirmation should be provided that works will be in accordance with the New Zealand Guidance to Temporary Traffic Management and be undertaken with the supervision of suitably qualified professional.
State Highway Closures	27. Concern has been raised regarding the proposed closures of the state highway associated with the trail construction. The proposed methodology for trail installation and when state highway closures will be required should be provided.
Detours	28. Concern has been raised regarding the potential detour routes mentioned within the application. It is noted that detours are very limited along State Highway 35, and many of the local roads may not be able to accommodate high productivity motor vehicles (HPMV) and vehicles over the 44 tonne weight limit (50MAX) vehicles. Details are required on where and in what situation these detours are required.

Operation	
Use of the Trail	29. NZTA is concerned that this pedestrian trail will be unrestricted and will be utilised by other users. Details are required on how the use of the trail will be enforced to pedestrian only, rather than use by cyclists, horses etc.
Maintenance Standard	30. Deterioration of trails can result in users preferring the road corridor to trails. Details on when intervention/maintenance is proposed on the various types of trails should be provided. 31. It is also unclear of who will undertake maintenance works and where request for works to be undertaken and where public request for service will need to be sent to.
Emergency Works	32. State Highway 35 is subject to emergency works and it is not clear how Te Ara Tipuna Charitable Trust and their trail will fit into this process. The following should be addressed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> c) The response to emergency events and the clearance and reinstatement of infrastructure. d) Emergency procedures for users of the trail during these events e) Response times to emergency events and who will address the public request for services (RFS). f) If a dedicated contractor assigned to respond to these events. g) Damage to the Te Ara Tipuna trail by a state highway asset. <p>Note: NZTA does not have funding to repair or reinstatement trail infrastructure.</p>
Rubbish	33. NZTA is concerned about Te Ara Tipuna trail resulting in an increase in rubbish and waste along the highway corridor. Maintenance of rubbish and waste along the Te Ara Tipuna trail needs to be addressed, and if any collection will be undertaken on a frequent basis within the highway road corridor along the trail.
State Highway Normal Works	34. NZTA often undertakes works within the state highway corridor including works in the road reserve and highway closures which may impact on trail user access. Details on how trail functionality can work around state highway works / closures associated with standard maintenance should be provided.
Coastal Protection Structures / Sea Level Rise	35. Future works such as the installation of coastal protection structures may require the land that the trail is located on, and it is not clear how realignment of the Te Ara Tipuna trail will work within this process. If the land the trail is on is required it is unclear what timeframes the Te Ara Tipuna trail would need to be able to realign sections of the trail prior to commencement of NZTA works.
Graffiti and Vandalism	36. Proposed assets will be subject to graffiti and/or vandalism it is unclear how the Te Ara Tipuna Charitable Trust will undertake maintenance and replacement of assets. Detail on the frequency of inspections and what response times might be to remediate and reinstate assets subject to graffiti and/or vandalism is unclear.
Asset Inspection Database	37. All highway assets are recorded within the road assessment and maintenance management (RAMM) asset management system, and it is unclear if Te Ara Tipuna Charitable Trust will record their assets in RAMM. Details are required on how Te Ara Tipuna Charitable Trust will inspect assets and record the condition of their assets on an ongoing basis.

Submission on
Resource Consent Application



Form 13
Under Section 96 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

! A copy of your submission must also be given to the resource consent applicant as soon as possible.
All information provided in your submission is available to the public (on request).

1. Person making submission

Name in full: CARTER TERENCE NEVIL MATEWHITU
Surname: First Name(s)

Address:
142 HARRIS STREET INNER KAITI
No. Street/Road Suburb
GISBORNE 4010
Town/City Postcode

Mobile: 021 050 6140 Other phone: N/A

Email: dancingfoottc@gmail.com

2. Submission on

Application No: TPGD - 1060

Name of applicant: TE ARA TIPUNA CHARITABLE TRUST

Type of resource consent applied for: _____

Brief description of proposed activity: DESIGN & CONSTRUCT STAGE 1 OF T.A.T. TRAIL

I support the application I oppose the application I am neutral to the application (neither support or oppose)

Clearly state which parts of the application you support or oppose or wish to have amended:

- Trustees/shareholders have concern re FAQ No. 14 in that the landowners will be **chiefly responsible** for maintenance of the track/trail across our block. We do not want this and do not have the manpower resources to achieve this.
- Also have concerns re health and safety for people when using the trail across our block and who is responsible if anything untoward occurs to users.
- Concern about rubbish and availability of toilet facilities.
- Some land blocks may be Non-Rateable Māori Land and if the land is used for the trail, it will attract rates from the Gisborne District Council.
- Trustees and shareholders are concerned re the legal easements referred to in Section 109 of Land Transfer Act 2017 and Section 26 Walking Access Act 2008. Any decision made now can affect future generations. Therefore we will not be giving permission to access/cross our block.

I wish the Gisborne District Council to make the following decision (give details, including the nature of any conditions sought):

When considering this application for resource consent that Council gives consideration to the views of the affected landowners/Tangata Wheneua in regard to the state and isolation of various land blocks, and whether there is sufficient economic and social advantages to benefit those affected by this application.

Please tick:

I wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission.

Would you consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission?

Yes No

I do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission

3. Signature

Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter

Tom Carter

Date: 30.1.25

Postal address of person making submission (if different from previous page):

N/A

Name and phone number (if different from previous page):

Contact person:

Mobile:

N/A

Other phone:

Email:

Submission on Resource Consent Application



Form 13
Under Section 96 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

! A copy of your submission must also be given to the resource consent applicant as soon as possible.
All information provided in your submission is available to the public (on request).

1. Person making submission

Name in full: ANARU, Tiaana (Daughter of Karla Watson, Great Grand daughter of Richard Kingi (dec))
Surname: ANARU First Name(s): Tiaana

Address:
No. 16 Street/Road: Turepo Way Suburb:
Rotorua Town/City: Postcode:
Mobile: 0278235493 Other phone:
Email: tiaana.anaru@hotmail.com

2. Submission on

Application No: GDC:DL/2023/112074/00, LR/2023/112076/00, LL/2023/112077/00, LV/2023/112078/00 BOPRC:RM23/0508/AF
Name of applicant: ODC:RC2024/04 Te Ara Tipuna Charitable Trust
Type of resource consent applied for: NOTIFIED CONSENT APPLICATION
Brief description of proposed activity: Public Walkway across private land

I support the application I oppose the application I am neutral to the application (neither support or oppose)

Clearly state which parts of the application you support or oppose or wish to have amended:

The reasons for making my submission are (briefly describe the reasons for your views, attach further pages if necessary):

Should the resource consent be granted, the Trust reserves rights to file legal action against the Trust and the Councils involved.

Office use only

Received date: Support Oppose W.T.B.H N.B.H

I wish the Gisborne District Council to make the following decision (give details, including the nature of any conditions sought):

The consent application should be declined.

Please tick:

- I wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission
- Would you consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission? Yes No
- I do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission

3. Signature

Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter

Date: 30.1.2025

Postal address of person making submission (if different from previous page):

Your PDF - will not allow me to electronically sign this document.

Name and phone number (if different from previous page):

Contact person:

Mobile: Other phone:

Email:

Submission on Resource Consent Application



Form 13
Under Section 96 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

! A copy of your submission must also be given to the resource consent applicant as soon as possible.
All information provided in your submission is available to the public (on request).

1. Person making submission

Name in full:	WATSON, Tawai Teresa (Daughter of Richard Kingi (dec))		
	Surname:	First Name(s)	
Address:			
225	Vaughan Road	Owhata	
No.	Street/Road	Suburb	
Rotorua		3010	
Town/City		Postcode	
Mobile:	0273917741	Other phone:	
Email:	kimba1972@xtra.co.nz		

2. Submission on

Application No:	GDC:DL/2023/112074#00,LR/2023/112076#00,LR/2023/112077#00,LR/2023/112078#00#OPRC:RM23#0508#AF
Name of applicant:	ODC:RC2024#04.TeAraTipunaCharitableTrust
Type of resource consent applied for:	NOTIFIED#CONSENT#APPLICATION
Brief description of proposed activity:	Public#Walkway#cross#private#land

I support the application I oppose the application I am neutral to the application (neither support or oppose)

Clearly state which parts of the application you support or oppose or wish to have amended:

The reasons for making my submission are (briefly describe the reasons for your views, attach further pages if necessary):

Should the resource consent be granted, the Trust reserves rights to file legal action against the Trust and the Councils involved.

Office use only

Received date: Support Oppose W.T.B.H N.B.H

I wish the Gisborne District Council to make the following decision (give details, including the nature of any conditions sought):

The consent application should be declined.

Please tick:

- I wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission
- Would you consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission? Yes No
- I do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission

3. Signature

Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter

Date:

Postal address of person making submission (if different from previous page):

Your PDF - will not allow me to electronically sign this document.

Name and phone number (if different from previous page):

Contact person:

Mobile: Other phone:

Email:

Submission on Resource Consent Application



Form 13
Under Section 96 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

! A copy of your submission must also be given to the resource consent applicant as soon as possible.
All information provided in your submission is available to the public (on request).

1. Person making submission

Name in full:

Surname: First Name(s):

Address:

<input type="text" value="18"/>	<input type="text" value="Haflinger Drive"/>	<input type="text" value="Henley Brook"/>
No.	Street/Road	Suburb
<input type="text" value="Perth, Australia"/>	<input type="text" value=""/>	<input type="text" value="60055"/>
Town/City		Postcode

Mobile: Other phone:

Email:

2. Submission on

Application No:

Name of applicant:

Type of resource consent applied for:

Brief description of proposed activity:

I support the application I oppose the application I am neutral to the application (neither support or oppose)

Clearly state which parts of the application you support or oppose or wish to have amended:

The reasons for making my submission are (briefly describe the reasons for your views, attach further pages if necessary):

Should the resource consent be granted, the Trust reserves its rights to file legal action against the Trust and the Councils involved.

Office use only

Received date: Support Oppose W.T.B.H N.B.H

I wish the Gisborne District Council to make the following decision (give details, including the nature of any conditions sought):

The consent application should be declined.

Please tick:

- I wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission
- Would you consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission? Yes No
- I do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission

3. Signature

Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter

Date:

Postal address of person making submission (if different from previous page):

Your PDF - will not allow me to electronically sign this document.

Name and phone number (if different from previous page):

Contact person:

Mobile: Other phone:

Email:

Submission on Resource Consent Application

Form 13
Under Section 96 of the Resource Management Act 1991.



! A copy of your submission must also be given to the resource consent applicant as soon as possible.
All information provided in your submission is available to the public (on request).

1. Person making submission

Name in full:

Surname: First Name(s):

Address:

<input type="text" value="225"/>	<input type="text" value="Vaughan Road"/>	<input type="text" value="Owhata"/>
No.	Street/Road	Suburb
<input type="text" value="Rotorua"/>	<input type="text" value=""/>	<input type="text" value="3010"/>
Town/City		Postcode

Mobile: Other phone:

Email:

2. Submission on

Application No:

Name of applicant:

Type of resource consent applied for:

Brief description of proposed activity:

I support the application I oppose the application I am neutral to the application (neither support or oppose)

Clearly state which parts of the application you support or oppose or wish to have amended:

The reasons for making my submission are (briefly describe the reasons for your views, attach further pages if necessary):

Should the resource consent be granted, the Trust reserves its rights to file legal action against the Trust and the Councils involved.

Office use only

Received date: Support Oppose W.T.B.H N.B.H

I wish the Gisborne District Council to make the following decision (give details, including the nature of any conditions sought):

The consent application should be declined.

Please tick:

- I wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission
- Would you consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission? Yes No
- I do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission

3. Signature

Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter

Date: 30.1.2025

Postal address of person making submission (if different from previous page):

Your PDF - will not allow me to electronically sign this document.

Name and phone number (if different from previous page):

Contact person:

Mobile: Other phone:

Email:

Submission on Resource Consent Application

Form 13
Under Section 96 of the Resource Management Act 1991.



! A copy of your submission must also be given to the resource consent applicant as soon as possible.
All information provided in your submission is available to the public (on request).

1. Person making submission

Name in full: ANARU, Shannon Phillip (Son of Karla Watson, Great Grandson of Richard Kingi (dec))
Surname: ANARU First Name(s): Shannon Phillip

Address:
82 Vaughan Road Owkata
No. Street/Road Suburb
Rotorua 3010
Town/City Postcode

Mobile: 0212759531 Other phone:
Email: Shannonanaru@hotmail.co.nz

2. Submission on

Application No: GDC:DL/2023/112074/00, LR/2023/112076/00, LR/2023/112077/00, LV/2023/112078/00 BOPRC:RM23/0508/AF
Name of applicant: ODC:RC2024/04 Te Ara Tipuna Charitable Trust
Type of resource consent applied for: NOTIFIED CONSENT APPLICATION
Brief description of proposed activity: Public Walkway across private land

I support the application I oppose the application I am neutral to the application (neither support or oppose)

Clearly state which parts of the application you support or oppose or wish to have amended:

The reasons for making my submission are (briefly describe the reasons for your views, attach further pages if necessary):

Should the resource consent be granted, the Trust reserves its rights to file legal action against the Trust and the Councils involved.

Office use only

Received date: Support Oppose W.T.B.H N.B.H

I wish the Gisborne District Council to make the following decision (give details, including the nature of any conditions sought):

The consent application should be declined.

Please tick:

- I wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission
- Would you consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission? Yes No
- I do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission

3. Signature

Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter

Date: 30.1.2025

Postal address of person making submission (if different from previous page):

Your PDF - will not allow me to electronically sign this document.

Name and phone number (if different from previous page):

Contact person:

Mobile: Other phone:

Email:

Submission on Resource Consent Application



Form 13
Under Section 96 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

! A copy of your submission must also be given to the resource consent applicant as soon as possible.
All information provided in your submission is available to the public (on request).

1. Person making submission

Name in full:

Surname: First Name(s):

Address:

12a

No. Street/Road

Auckland

Town/City Postcode

Mobile: Other phone:

Email:

2. Submission on

Application No:

Name of applicant:

Type of resource consent applied for:

Brief description of proposed activity:

I support the application I oppose the application I am neutral to the application (neither support or oppose)

Clearly state which parts of the application you support or oppose or wish to have amended:

The reasons for making my submission are (briefly describe the reasons for your views, attach further pages if necessary):

Should the resource consent be granted, the Trust reserves its rights to file legal action against the Trust and the Councils involved.

Office use only

Received date: Support Oppose W.T.B.H N.B.H

I wish the Gisborne District Council to make the following decision (give details, including the nature of any conditions sought):

The consent application should be declined.

Please tick:

- I wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission
- Would you consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission? Yes No
- I do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission

3. Signature

Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter

Date: 30.1.2025

Postal address of person making submission (if different from previous page):

Your PDF - will not allow me to electronically sign this document.

Name and phone number (if different from previous page):

Contact person:

Mobile: Other phone:

Email:

Submission on Resource Consent Application



Form 13
Under Section 96 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

! A copy of your submission must also be given to the resource consent applicant as soon as possible.
All information provided in your submission is available to the public (on request).

1. Person making submission

Name in full:

Surname: First Name(s):

Address:

<input type="text" value="6"/>	<input type="text" value="Gatina Crescent"/>	<input type="text" value="Coomera"/>
No.	Street/Road	Suburb
<input type="text" value="Australia"/>	<input type="text" value=""/>	<input type="text" value="4209"/>
Town/City		Postcode

Mobile: Other phone:

Email:

2. Submission on

Application No:

Name of applicant:

Type of resource consent applied for:

Brief description of proposed activity:

I support the application I oppose the application I am neutral to the application (neither support or oppose)

Clearly state which parts of the application you support or oppose or wish to have amended:

The reasons for making my submission are (briefly describe the reasons for your views, attach further pages if necessary):

Should the resource consent be granted, the Trust reserves its rights to file legal action against the Trust and the Councils involved.

Office use only

Received date: Support Oppose W.T.B.H N.B.H

I wish the Gisborne District Council to make the following decision (give details, including the nature of any conditions sought):

The consent application should be declined.

Please tick:

- I wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission
- Would you consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission? Yes No
- I do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission

3. Signature

Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter

Date: 30.1.2025

Postal address of person making submission (if different from previous page):

Your PDF - will not allow me to electronically sign this document.

Name and phone number (if different from previous page):

Contact person:

Mobile: Other phone:

Email:

Submission on Resource Consent Application



Form 13
Under Section 96 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

! A copy of your submission must also be given to the resource consent applicant as soon as possible.
All information provided in your submission is available to the public (on request).

1. Person making submission

Name in full:
Surname: First Name(s):

Address:
No. Street/Road Suburb
Rotorua
Town/City Postcode

Mobile: Other phone:

Email:

2. Submission on

Application No:

Name of applicant:

Type of resource consent applied for:

Brief description of proposed activity:

I support the application I oppose the application I am neutral to the application (neither support or oppose)

Clearly state which parts of the application you support or oppose or wish to have amended:

The reasons for making my submission are (briefly describe the reasons for your views, attach further pages if necessary):

Should the resource consent be granted, the Trust reserves its rights to file legal action against the Trust and the Councils involved.

Office use only

Received date: Support Oppose W.T.B.H N.B.H

I wish the Gisborne District Council to make the following decision (give details, including the nature of any conditions sought):

The consent application should be declined.

Please tick:

- I wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission
- Would you consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission? Yes No
- I do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission

3. Signature

Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter

Date:

Postal address of person making submission (if different from previous page):

Your PDF - will not allow me to electronically sign this document.

Name and phone number (if different from previous page):

Contact person:

Mobile: Other phone:

Email:

Submission on Resource Consent Application



Form 13
Under Section 96 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

! A copy of your submission must also be given to the resource consent applicant as soon as possible.
All information provided in your submission is available to the public (on request).

1. Person making submission

Name in full:

Surname: First Name(s):

Address:

<input type="text" value="6"/>	<input type="text" value="Gatina Crescent"/>	<input type="text" value="Coomera"/>
No.	Street/Road	Suburb
<input type="text" value="Australia"/>	<input type="text" value=""/>	<input type="text" value="4209"/>
Town/City		Postcode

Mobile: Other phone:

Email:

2. Submission on

Application No:

Name of applicant:

Type of resource consent applied for:

Brief description of proposed activity:

I support the application I oppose the application I am neutral to the application (neither support or oppose)

Clearly state which parts of the application you support or oppose or wish to have amended:

The reasons for making my submission are (briefly describe the reasons for your views, attach further pages if necessary):

Should the resource consent be granted, the Trust reserves its rights to file legal action against the Trust and the Councils involved.

Office use only

Received date: Support Oppose W.T.B.H N.B.H

I wish the Gisborne District Council to make the following decision (give details, including the nature of any conditions sought):

The consent application should be declined.

Please tick:

- I wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission
- Would you consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission? Yes No
- I do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission

3. Signature

Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter

Date: 30.1.2025

Postal address of person making submission (if different from previous page):

Your PDF - will not allow me to electronically sign this document.

Name and phone number (if different from previous page):

Contact person:

Mobile: Other phone:

Email:

Submission on Resource Consent Application

Form 13
Under Section 96 of the Resource Management Act 1991.



! A copy of your submission must also be given to the resource consent applicant as soon as possible.
All information provided in your submission is available to the public (on request).

1. Person making submission

Name in full:
Surname: First Name(s):

Address:
No. Street/Road Suburb
Rotorua Postcode
Town/City

Mobile: Other phone:

Email:

2. Submission on

Application No:

Name of applicant:

Type of resource consent applied for:

Brief description of proposed activity:

I support the application I oppose the application I am neutral to the application (neither support or oppose)

Clearly state which parts of the application you support or oppose or wish to have amended:

The reasons for making my submission are (briefly describe the reasons for your views, attach further pages if necessary):

Should the resource consent be granted, the Trust reserves its rights to file legal action against the Trust and the Councils involved.

Office use only

Received date: Support Oppose W.T.B.H N.B.H

I wish the Gisborne District Council to make the following decision (give details, including the nature of any conditions sought):

The consent application should be declined.

Please tick:

- I wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission
- Would you consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission? Yes No
- I do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission

3. Signature

Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter

Date: 30.1.2025

Postal address of person making submission (if different from previous page):

Your PDF - will not allow me to electronically sign this document.

Name and phone number (if different from previous page):

Contact person:

Mobile: Other phone:

Email:

Submission on Resource Consent Application

Form 13
Under Section 96 of the Resource Management Act 1991.



! A copy of your submission must also be given to the resource consent applicant as soon as possible.
All information provided in your submission is available to the public (on request).

1. Person making submission

Name in full:
Surname: First Name(s):

Address:
No. Street/Road Suburb

Town/City Postcode

Mobile: Other phone:

Email:

2. Submission on

Application No:

Name of applicant:

Type of resource consent applied for:

Brief description of proposed activity:

I support the application I oppose the application I am neutral to the application (neither support or oppose)

Clearly state which parts of the application you support or oppose or wish to have amended:

The reasons for making my submission are (briefly describe the reasons for your views, attach further pages if necessary):

Should the resource consent be granted, the Trust reserves its rights to file legal action against the Trust and the Councils involved.

Office use only

Received date: Support Oppose W.T.B.H N.B.H

I wish the Gisborne District Council to make the following decision (give details, including the nature of any conditions sought):

The consent application should be declined.

Please tick:

- I wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission
- Would you consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission? Yes No
- I do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission

3. Signature

Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter

Date: 30.1.2025

Postal address of person making submission (if different from previous page):

Your PDF - will not allow me to electronically sign this document.

Name and phone number (if different from previous page):

Contact person:

Mobile: Other phone:

Email:

Submission on Resource Consent Application

Form 13
Under Section 96 of the Resource Management Act 1991.



! A copy of your submission must also be given to the resource consent applicant as soon as possible.
All information provided in your submission is available to the public (on request).

1. Person making submission

Name in full:

Surname: First Name(s):

Address:

<input type="text" value="6"/>	<input type="text" value="Gatina Crescent"/>	<input type="text" value="Coomera"/>
No.	Street/Road	Suburb
<input type="text" value="Australia"/>	<input type="text" value=""/>	<input type="text" value="4209"/>
Town/City		Postcode

Mobile: Other phone:

Email:

2. Submission on

Application No:

Name of applicant:

Type of resource consent applied for:

Brief description of proposed activity:

I support the application I oppose the application I am neutral to the application (neither support or oppose)

Clearly state which parts of the application you support or oppose or wish to have amended:

The reasons for making my submission are (briefly describe the reasons for your views, attach further pages if necessary):

Should the resource consent be granted, the Trust reserves its rights to file legal action against the Trust and the Councils involved.

Office use only

Received date: Support Oppose W.T.B.H N.B.H

I wish the Gisborne District Council to make the following decision (give details, including the nature of any conditions sought):

The consent application should be declined.

Please tick:

- I wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission
- Would you consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission? Yes No
- I do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission

3. Signature

Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter

Date: 30.1.2025

Postal address of person making submission (if different from previous page):

Your PDF - will not allow me to electronically sign this document.

Name and phone number (if different from previous page):

Contact person:

Mobile: Other phone:

Email:

Submission on Resource Consent Application

Form 13
Under Section 96 of the Resource Management Act 1991.



! A copy of your submission must also be given to the resource consent applicant as soon as possible.
All information provided in your submission is available to the public (on request).

1. Person making submission

Name in full:
Surname: First Name(s)

Address:
No. Street/Road Suburb

Town/City Postcode

Mobile: Other phone:

Email:

2. Submission on

Application No:

Name of applicant:

Type of resource consent applied for:

Brief description of proposed activity:

I support the application I oppose the application I am neutral to the application (neither support or oppose)

Clearly state which parts of the application you support or oppose or wish to have amended:

The reasons for making my submission are (briefly describe the reasons for your views, attach further pages if necessary):

Should the resource consent be granted, the Trust reserves its rights to file legal action against the Trust and the Councils involved.

Office use only

Received date: Support Oppose W.T.B.H N.B.H

I wish the Gisborne District Council to make the following decision (give details, including the nature of any conditions sought):

The consent application should be declined.

Please tick:

- I wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission
- Would you consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission? Yes No
- I do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission

3. Signature

Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter

Date: 30.1.2025

Postal address of person making submission (if different from previous page):

Your PDF - will not allow me to electronically sign this document.

Name and phone number (if different from previous page):

Contact person:

Mobile: Other phone:

Email:

Submission on
Resource Consent Application



Form 13
Under Section 96 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

i A copy of your submission must also be given to the resource consent applicant as soon as possible.
All information provided in your submission is available to the public (on request).

1. Person making submission

Name in full:	Hei	Kani
	Surname:	First Name(s)
Address:		
8517	State Highway 35	Te Kaha
No.	Street/Road	Suburb
Opotiki		3199
Town/City		Postcode
Mobile:	0276888657	Other phone:
Email:	khei3591@gmail.com	

2. Submission on

Application No: GDC: DL-2023-112074-00, LR-2023-112076-00, LL-2023-112077-00, LV-2023-112078-00 BOPRC: RM23-0506

Name of applicant: Te Ara Tipuna Charitable Trust

Type of resource consent applied for: Discharge to Land, Land Use - Works in a River/Lake Bed, Land Use - Land Disturbance, Land Use - Land Use

Brief description of proposed activity: Construct, operate and maintain a pedestrian track to be known as Te Ara Tipuna-stage1, from

I support the application I oppose the application I am neutral to the application (neither support or oppose)

Clearly state which parts of the application you support or oppose or wish to have amended:

I formally oppose the proposal for a resource consent to construct a walkway through my private property which is Maori Land known as Maraehako C3D, as it raises several concerns regarding the privacy, security and cultural significance of this land.

The reasons for making my submission are (briefly describe the reasons for your views, attach further pages if necessary):

SEE ATTACHED

Office use only

Received date: Support Oppose W.T.B.H N.B.H

FOR ATTACHMENT TO SUBMISSION ON RESOURCE CONSENT APPLICATION: submitted by
Kani Hei, 3 February 2025

Application No: GDC: DL-2023-112074-00, LR-2023-112076-00, LL-2023-112077-00, LV-2023-112078-00 BOPRC: RM23-0508-AP O

Name of applicant: Te Ara Tipuna Charitable Trust

Type of resource consent applied for: Discharge to land, Land use – Works in a River/Lake bed, Land use- Land Disturbance- land Use

Brief description of proposed activity: Construct, operate and maintain a pedestrian track to be known as Te Ara Tipuna-stage1, from Gisborne to Opotiki

The reasons for making my submission are;

Privacy and Security

The proposed walkway would directly impact the privacy and security of my home and property.

Maori Land, particularly in this context is not just land but a deeply personal and sacred space for my whanau.

Allowing public access would compromise our ability to peacefully enjoy and safeguard this land and our home.

Cultural Significance and Taonga Tuku Iho

This land is a taonga tuku iho, a treasure passed down through generations. It is not merely property, it carries the history, identity and ancestral

connections of my whanau. The proposal overlooks the deep spiritual, cultural and historical significance that the land holds for us. The imposition of a public access risks damaging our cultural practices, heritage and sense of belonging.

Future Generations (Mokopuna)

It is crucial that we preserve this land not only for ourselves but for our mokopuna(descendants). We have a responsibility to ensure that they can enjoy and connect with this whenua as we have. The proposed walkway would set a precedent that would affect future generations, limiting their rights to enjoy this space and diminishing their connection to our ancestry.

Implications for Future Generations

The decision to allow the construction of this walkway could have serious implications for future generations. It may not only affect our privacy and cultural heritage but could also pave the way for further infringements on Maori land rights. Any such decision must be made with careful consideration of the long term consequences and respect for the land as a taonga.

I urge you to reconsider the proposed resource consent and ensure that decisions made today reflect the values and rights of Maori Land owners, particularly when it concerns the integrity of taonga tuki iho.

I wish the Gisborne District Council to make the following decision (give details, including the nature of any conditions sought):

I am a landowner and a present Trustee of HHei Jnr Trust, whom are the administrators on behalf of owners of this Maori Land known as Maraehako C3D
Myself and fellow Trustees were not consulted and we are also aware that a non - owner cannot legally apply for resource consent for a project on Maori land without the explicit approval or authorization of the Landowners.
I strongly oppose this application and request the application be rejected on the grounds that it fails to meet the legal and consultation requirements outlined above.

Please tick:

- I wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission
- Would you consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission? Yes No
- I do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission

3. Signature

Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter



Date: 3 February 2025

Postal address of person making submission (if different from previous page):

see previous page

Name and phone number (if different from previous page):

Contact person:

Mobile: Other phone:

Email:

SUBMISSION ON PUBLICLY NOTIFIED APPLICATION FOR RESOURCE CONSENTS

To: **Gisborne District Council**

Name of Submitter: **Koutunui Forest Ltd**

1. This is a submission on an application from Te Ara Tipuna Charitable Trust (**the Trust**) for multiple resource consents to enable the design and construction of Stage 1 of the Te Ara Tipuna Trail for approximately 500km from Gisborne to Ōpōtiki (**the Trail**).
2. Koutunui Forest Ltd (**KFL**) is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.
3. KFL's submission relates to the part of the Trail that is proposed on or adjacent to land owned by KFL, being the land held in Record of Title GS6A/879 (**KFL's land**).
4. KFL's submission is:
 - (a) The Trust has no legal right over KFL's land that would enable the Trust to implement that part of the Trail that is proposed to traverse KFL's land.
 - (b) KFL has not given permission for KFL's land to be used for the purpose of a walking track or any other purpose outlined in the consent application. KFL does not wish its land to be part of the proposed Trail, and will not allow the Trail to be constructed on its land.
 - (c) KFL has informed the Trust of KFL's position. The Trust has ignored KFL and made no provision in its application to avoid/bypass KFL's land. It is a waste of everyone's time and resources for the Trust to proceed with an application that it cannot implement.
 - (d) Also, KFL's land is occupied by a significant forestry block. The creation and use of the proposed Trail — either adjacent to, or across, KFL's land — would present significant fire and/or security risks to the forestry block and/or forestry activity. The Trust has made no provision for assuming liability for such risks.
 - (e) Further, if the Trail were to proceed across KFL's land, users of the trail would be at significant health and safety risks due to forestry activity. Again, the Trust has made no provision for assuming liability for such risks.
 - (f) All of the above issues could be avoided by re-designing the Trail to avoid KFL's land.
5. For the reasons stated above, KFL opposes all parts of the Trail proposed on or adjacent to KFL's land.

6. KFL seeks that the consent authority declines the application in its present form.
7. KFL wishes to be heard in support of its submission.



M J Slyfield
Barrister
Counsel for Koutunui Forest Ltd

4 February 2025

Electronic address for service: davidbolsennz@gmail.com

Telephone: 0211627468

Contact Person: Mr David Olsen, Director of Koutunui Forest Ltd

Submission on Resource Consent Application



Te Kaunihera o Te Tairāwhiti
GISBORNE
DISTRICT COUNCIL

Form 13
Under Section 96 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

! A copy of your submission must also be given to the resource consent applicant as soon as possible.
All information provided in your submission is available to the public (on request).

1. Person making submission

Name in full:
Surname First Name(s)

Address:
No. Street/Road Suburb

Town/City Postcode

Mobile: Other phone:

Email:

2. Submission on

Application No:

Name of applicant:

Type of resource consent applied for:

Brief description of proposed activity:

I support the application I oppose the application I am neutral to the application (neither support or oppose)

Clearly state which parts of the application you support or oppose or wish to have amended:

I stand firmly against this proposition because it threatens to open our sacred ancestral lands along the coast to the public—lands that hold deep cultural and historical significance. This proposed walkway poses serious risks, not only to the local environment but also to the rights of those who whakapapa to this whenua. Many landowners, who are directly affected, were never consulted—simply because through no fault of their own they no longer reside on the coast. This lack of engagement is unacceptable. Our whenua is not just land—it is our identity, our heritage, and our responsibility to protect. I cannot support a proposal that disregards our people, our environment, and our tino rangatiratanga.

The reasons for making my submission are (briefly describe the reasons for your views, attach further pages if necessary):

I am making this submission to ensure that the voices of us, the landowners, are heard and respected. Our perspectives must be taken into account, as decisions about our ancestral lands should not be made without us.

Office use only

Received date: Support Oppose W.T.B.H N.B.H

I wish the Gisborne District Council to make the following decision (give details, including the nature of any conditions sought):

I strongly urge the councils involved in this proposal to reject the Te Ara Tipuna trails and prevent them from moving forward. Our ancestral lands and the voices of the rightful landowners must be respected.

Please tick:

- I wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission
- Would you consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission? Yes No
- I do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission

3. Signature

Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter:



Date: 09-02-2025

Postal address of person making submission (if different from previous page):

Name and phone number (if different from previous page):

Contact person:

Mobile: Other phone:

Email:

Notice of Requirement

Under Sections 168A, 169, 18741, and 190 of the Resource Management Act 1991.



! A copy of your submission must also be given to the requiring authority as soon as possible. All information provided in your submission is available to the public (on request)

1. Applicant details

Name in full: Sheree Winifred Rose Hailey
Surname: First Name(s)

Organisation (if applicable): AkuAku A11†

Address:

125 kiekie Road waipiro Bay
No. Street/Road Suburb

Gisborne 4079
Town/City Postcode

Mobile: 0210460996 Other phone:

Email: ngati_up@yahoo.co.nz

2. Submission details

Reference number: AkuAku A11

Requiring authority: Myself as a Trustee of AkuAku A11 and a permanent resident of AkuAku A11

The notice is for:

~~Te Ara Tipuna trial proposal for resource consent~~

I support the application I oppose the application I am neutral (neither support or oppose)

Submission details - state which parts of the application you support, oppose or wish to have amended:

~~I oppose this group's application for consent to build the Te Ara Tipuna trail from Te Puia Springs through Waipiro Bay, towards Whareponga and then onto Ruatoria. The trial proposes to cross our volatile lands that are still recovering from the recent cyclones and our Waipiro Bay road is closed and has been for some time, limiting access for emergency services and other services needed in Waipiro Bay. We have major damage to our blocks and multiple hazards as the land is moving and continues to do so.~~

I/we seek the following recommendations or decision from the Territorial Authority (please give precise details including the general nature of any conditions sought. Use additional pages if required):

~~A community hui was held in Waipiro Bay hosted by the Te Ara Tipuna trial group to share with the locals their proposal of the trial from Gisborne to Opotiki. They shared with the whanau minimal information simply highlighting the benefits of their proposal. This hui failed to tell the whanau all of the details that included things like, if the track crosses your land we are responsible for taking care of it, which makes it liable, and imposes a reasonable burden on our people who are not in any position to maintain this track. This group also failed to inform all whanau where they intend the trail to go through. On the GDC website it shows the trial accessing the road ways, we were later informed that the trial intended to divert off the roads and impose itself on to our blocks of lands which we do not agree to or do not support. The Te Ara Tipuna trial organising committee/team/trust were they forthcoming about their proposal. They failed to answer challenging questions put to them at the hui and dismissed whanau that challenged them. It was not till after their presentation that we were made aware of potential issues, possible hardships and hazards this trial can create and also there are potential safety issues for residents. Our block has multiple hazards on it, we are not currently resourced to address the slash and land erosion issues, the non-existent fences that sees wild bulls and other animals crossing into our blocks is a huge hazard for tourists. As a trustee of this block and a solo mother that lives on this block with no other permanent whanau living close by (who rarely come home) I strongly oppose this application. I recommend that consent is not granted, and that if the GDC and Te Ara Tipuna Charitable Trust wishes for this track to continue then explore the use of dc land, i.e. using the main roads that are maintained more regularly than our local roads which are currently in poor, unsafe and closed conditions.~~

- I wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission.
- Would you consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission.
- I do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission

3. Signatures

Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter:

Date: 22/01/25

Postal address of person making submission (if different from previous page):

Name and phone number (if different from previous page)

Contact person:

Mobile:

Other phone:

Email:

4. Trade competitor declaration

- I declare I am NOT a trade competitor of the requiring authority.

I declare I am a trade competitor of the requiring authority who is directly affected by an effect of the activity to which the requirement relates that:

- Adversely affects the environment;
- Does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Signature:

Date:

Notes

If you are making a submission to the Environmental Protection Authority, you should use Form 16B.

If your submission relates to a notice of requirement for a designation or alteration to a designation and you are a trade competitor of the requiring authority for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991, you may make a submission only if you are directly affected by an effect of the activity to which the requirement relates that:

- Adversely affects the environment, and
- Does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Office use only

Date received:

Support

Oppose

W.T.B.H

N.B.H