

Submission on  
**Resource Consent Application**



Form 13  
Under Section 96 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

**!** A copy of your submission must also be given to the resource consent applicant as soon as possible.  
All information provided in your submission is available to the public (on request).

**1. Person making submission**

Name in full: RAMACHANDRAKURUP ARUN  
Surname: First Name(s)

Address: 97 STANLEY ROAD TE HAPARA  
No. Street/Road Suburb

GISBORNE 4010  
Town/City Postcode

Mobile: 021 801 923 Other phone: \_\_\_\_\_

Email: arunrup.007@gmail.com

**2. Submission on**

Application No: LU-2023-112110-00156-2023-112111-001NC-2023-112112-00

Name of applicant: NZ HOUSING GROUP GISBORNE

Type of resource consent applied for: 'BUNDLED' .. TO CONSTRUCT 8 RESIDENCIAL DWELLINGS IN 99A STONEY STREET

Brief description of proposed activity: TO CONSTRUCT 12 DWELLINGS

I support the application  I oppose the application  I am neutral to the application (neither support or oppose)

Clearly state which parts of the application you support or oppose or wish to have amended:

RESPONSIBLE DISTRICT PLANNING - 1  
HIGH DENSITY HOUSING - 4  
PUBLIC CONSULTATION - 5  
TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT - 6  
ONSITE PARKING AND TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT <sup>OR</sup> ~~AND~~ VEHICLE MOVEMENT - 8  
OVERCROWDING - 8  
SITE COVERAGE - 8  
FENCING - 9

The reasons for making my submission are (briefly describe the reasons for your views, attach further pages if necessary):

1. A SOCIAL HOUSING IS NOT ACCEPTABLE IN A SCHOOL ZONE OPPOSITE TO A SCHOOL FOR DEVIATING THE KIDS CHARACTER TO CRIMINAL OR AGGRESSIVE BEHAVIOUR - DOES COUNCIL WANT TO SUPPORT IT? I'M THE OWNER OF 244 STOUT STREET - FACING ALL SORTS OF TROUBLE FROM THE NEIGHBOUR STATE HOUSING - CAR HAS BEEN BURNED - ALL PRIVACY LOST, AGGRESSIVE BEHAVIOURS FROM THEM. - DOES THE COUNCIL SUPPORT ALL THESE SITUATIONS TO A SCHOOL SITE? DOES THESE BEHAVIOURS ~~GOOD~~ AND LEARNING FOR YOUNG KIDS? BY SUPPORTING THIS CONSTRUCTION I FEEL LIKE GUIDING YOUNG KIDS TO A DANGEROUS ATMOSPHERE.

**Office use only**

Received date: \_\_\_\_\_  Support  Oppose  W.T.B.H  N.B.H

I wish the Gisborne District Council to make the following decision (give details, including the nature of any conditions sought):

1. KIDS FUTURE BEING SO CLOSE TO SCHOOL ZONE  
2. PUBLIC SAFETY,  
3. ACCIDENT RISK OR TRAFFIC  
4. GENERAL VIOLENCE / ROBBERY / CRIME -  
5. OVER CROWDING .

Please tick:

- I wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission
- Would you consider presenting a joint case with others who have made a similar submission?  Yes  No
- I do not wish to speak at the hearing in support of my submission

### 3. Signature

Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter



Date: 27-06-2024

Postal address of person making submission (if different from previous page):

12 WOOLFELD ROAD, PAPATOETOE, AUCKLAND 2025 .

Name and phone number (if different from previous page):

Contact person:

Mobile:  Other phone:

Email:

## HIGH DENSITY HOUSING

---

BEING A 244 STOUT STREET HOME OWNER, FACING THE PRIVACY ISSUES OF TWO STORY BUILDINGS. VERY UNCOMFORTABLE TO STAY AS A NEIGHBOUR ESPECIALLY THOSE HAVING TEENAGER KIDS. THE HIGH DENSITY HOUSING DEVELOPMENT IS FORCED UPON ADJOINING HOME OWNERS, THIS IS VERY UNWELCOME <sup>ALSO</sup> FACING TROUBLE - VIOLENCE, ROBBERY AND NOISES FROM 244 STOUT STREET NEIGHBOURS.

## PUBLIC CONSULTATION.

---

I WISH THE CITY COUNCIL NOTIFY THIS MATTER TO ~~THE~~ BOTH BOYS AND GIRLS SCHOOL AUTHORITIES AND PARENTS FOR THEIR VIEWING BEFORE APPROVING THE RESOURCE CONSENT WILL BE A BETTER WAY OF TREATING THE PUBLIC OR VALUING THE PUBLIC'S SUBMISSIONS OR PROFESSIONAL WAY OF DOING THINGS.

## TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT

---

99A STANLEY ROAD PROPERTY IS ONE HOUSE AWAY FROM THE CHILDERS ROAD / STANLEY ROAD ROUND ABOUT.

I WISH SOMEONE PHYSICALLY PRESENT TO SEE THE TRAFFIC ISSUES ESPECIALLY FROM SCHOOL TIME 7:30AM TO 8:30AM AND 2:30PM TO 3:30PM. ALONG WITH TRUCKS TO THE INDUSTRIAL SITE, WORKERS ALSO RUBBY CARS, STANLEY ROAD IS PACKED WITH CARS AND ~~AND~~ KIDS BEING A 97 STANLEY ROAD HOME OWNER, I CLEARLY UNDERSTOOD THE DANGERS CROSS THE ROAD AND DRIVING.

PLEASE NOTE: I SAW 3 OR FOUR NEAR MISSES FROM PREVIOUS 99A HOME OWNERS DRIVING IN OR EXIT FROM THE PROPERTY.

---

I DON'T THINK A SOCIAL HOUSING TENANT WILL BE THAT PATIENT AND COUNCIL IS RISKING PUBLIC'S SAFETY BY ISSUING OR PERMITTING THE CONSENT.

## ONSITE PARKING AND VEHICLE MANAGEMENT.

IF COUNCIL CONSENTING THIS APPLICATION WILL BE VERY RISKY TO THE NEIGHBOURING OWNERS OR PEDESTRIANS. AS WE KNEW DON'T EVEN HAVE PARKING SPACE FOR THE MUM'S OR DADS TO DROP THE KIDS WHEN ITS SCHOOL DAYS, IF ~~WAS~~ FOR 8 DOUBLE STORED BUILDINGS, DOESN'T MAKE SENSE FOR ENOUGH PARKING FOR THE TENANTS AND WHAT IF VISITORS? DOES THE COUNCIL SUPPORTING THE COMMUNITY AND GROWING KIDS? WILL BE FACING A VERY DANGEROUS SITUATION IN THE FUTURE,

## OVER CROWDING

IT'S AN EXPERIENCE FOR ME BEING A 244 STREET HOME OWNER, ALL PROPOSED DWELLINGS MAKE SO LITTLE PROVISION FOR OUTDOOR LIVING, THAT RESIDENTS WILL FIND THEMSELVES FORCED TO LIVE INDOORS, IMAGINE IF THEY HAVE AGGRESSIVE BEHAVIOURS? TEENAGERS WILL BE RUSHING OUT WHEN THEY GET A CHANCE, IF THEY FAIL DRIVING CAREFULLY THEN LEADS TO AN ACCIDENT. NORMALLY CYCLISTS, KIDS PRESENT AND I DON'T WANT TO FEEL GUILTY FOR SOMEONE'S DEATH.

OVERALL ITS VERY RISKY IF YOUR ARE NOT PATIENT OR CAREFULL. THE PREVIOUS HOME OWNER'S WERE VERY CAREFULL AND PATIENT, STILL I SAW SOME NEAR MASSES.

## SITE COVERAGE

AS PER THE REQUIREMENTS THE BUILDINGS CAN'T BE MORE THAN 30 OR 35% OF THE LAND AREA IN TOTAL? 12 DWELLINGS IS IT <sup>EVEN</sup> POSSIBLE TO PUT 7 OR 8 DWELLINGS AS PER THE REQUIREMENTS? IS IT A BREACH OF COUNCIL REQUIREMENTS? ALSO CONSIDERING A RAINGA ORA - SOCIAL HOUSING TO A SCHOOL ZONE WHAT WILL BE THE STANDARDS FOR THE NEIGHBOURING HOME OWNERS WHO IS LIVING CLOSE TO THE SCHOOL LOOKING FOR THEIR KIDS BETTER FUTURE?

~~NEED~~

## FENCING

~~BE~~ EXPERIENCING SOCIAL HOUSING TENANTS JUMPING OVER 2.44 METER STREET PROPERTY - QUESTIONS MY KIDS PRIVACY, DANGEROUS SITUATIONS, BAD BEHAVIOURS EVEN THOUGH ITS A 2.44 METER FENCE. I DON'T WANT THIS TO BE HAPPENED AGAIN UNFORTUNATELY THE COUNCIL RISKING OUR LIFE. ALSO I DON'T WANT ANY WINDOWS OR DOOR'S OPENING TO FACING MY PROPERTY BECAUSE I HAVE YOUNG KIDS.

KINDLY OVERLOOK THIS APPLICATION - SOCIAL HOUSING CLOSE TO THE SCHOOLS, HOW BADLY AFFECT THE KIDS CHARACTERS AND LEARNING ENVIRONMENT AND SOCIAL DEVELOPMENTS AND ALSO RISKING THEIR LIVES.

I HOPE THE COUNCIL LOOK FORWARD TO THE KIDS FUTURE AND RISKS RATHER THAN HELPING THE DEVELOPERS.